

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,006	07/18/2003	Val Krukonis	07678/116002	4588
21559 75	590 10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
CLARK & ELBING LLP			MAYES, DIONNE WALLS	
101 FEDERAL BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			1731	
			D. TT. MAIL ED. 10/12/000	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/623,006	KRUKONIS ET AL.	•			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee lander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since						
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii submitted iii a separate,	unlery filed affichatife	ant canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)\		1.7			
13.	•	De W	MAR			
		Dionne Walls Maye Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731	es			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner is not convinced by Applicant's arguments. Applicant's main arguments surround its assertion that nicotine is not a "constituent" for the purposes of the instant claims, and there is no evidence that a process that removes nicotine, as disclosed in the Garner reference, would necessarily result in a reduction of PAH's and/or secondary alkaloids. The Examiner does not agree with such assertion. The Examiner believes that alkaloids are water-soluble tobacco components that would necessarily be removed (if only a negligible amount which would satisfy the claims) during the extraction process involving a hydrocarbon solvent. Applicant has provided no evidence to the contrary, although it attempts to present evidence by showing the tables in the instant specification. However, these tables fail to prove that the only alkaloids that would be removed via hydrocarbon extraction would be nicotine. Garner contacts the tobacco with a subcritical fluid, as claimed, resulting in the reduction of a tobacco constituent, and reliance on such reference to reject the claims is considered proper and, as such, the rejections made in the FINAL REJECTION are maintained. Note: Applicant's request to withdraw finality on the basis that the scope of the amended claims were not changed in such a manner as to make Garner now applicable is not deemed to have merit, and will not be granted.